# POSSIBLE FUTURE CHANGES NEEDED ON 'RELIGIOUS' IN CCEO & OTHER SECTIONS IN CIC AND CCEO

Varghese Koluthara CMI

#### What is the role of theologians in Magisterium?

 CCEO c 606 (no parallel in CIC) explains the role of theologians "It is for the theologians, given their profound understanding of the mystery of salvation and their expertise in the sacred and related sciences as well as in current questions, to explain and defend the faith of the Church and to pave the way for doctrinal progress, while faithfully submitting to the authentic magisterium of the Church and at the same time availing themselves of proper freedom." (CCEO c. 606§ 1).

 It is the call and role of theologians to build up the faith community, a faith that is operative in justice and love. They are invited to cooperate with the bishops in the common task of building up the Church as a community of faith.

 But their legitimate freedom is guaranteed by the canon by the usage "availing themselves of proper freedom" so that theirs can be an unfettered, genuine service to pave the way for doctrinal progress.

#### The East is East and the West is West

- "The East is East, and the West is West, the twain shall never meet." This pessimistic view of Rudyard Kipling stresses the differences between the East and the West. It has its corrective counterpart in the aphorism "Ex oriente lux"(Light from the East), which fascinated the poet-pope John Paul II, who issued an apostolic letter "Orientale Lumen" (2 May 1995).
- Rudyard Kipling, "The Ballad of East and West." in *Barrack Room Ballads*, *Departmental Ditties*, and *Other Ballads and Verses* (New York: Alex Grosset and Co., 1899), 11-17.

#### The East is East and the West is West

- This Pope also liked to use the metaphor of two lungs of the Church: the Eastern and the Western: the Church should breathe with both its lungs in order to be healthy. During the first millennium of union between the East and the West, the Church did breathe with both lungs and was healthy. But after the breach of unity between the Orthodox East and the Catholic West in 1054, mutual estrangement prevented the Church from breathing with two lungs.
- G. Nedungatt, "The Latin Code and Eastern Code: A Comparison," in A. Loretan, F. Wilfred (eds.), Revision of the Codes: An Indian European Dialogu (Zurich: LIT Verlag GmbH & Co K G Wien 2018), 211-212.

• The great programme of aggiornamento set in motion by Pope John XXIII and sensitivity to the needs of our changing times prompt us to ask several critical questions demanding significant revision to the Codes of Canon Law as CIC (1983) has elapsed more than three decades and CCEO (1990) reaching three decades after their promulgation. There are several canonists and theologians who urge the **Church for revision of the Codes of Canon** Law.

- Let me bring out some of the areas of which need rethinking and revision in the conceptual level as well as in the practice of the Church. Some are basically theological questions which need to be accommodated in the Codes of Canon Law because, our legal frame work, i.e. the body of Canon Law (CIC 1983, PB 1988 & CCEO 1990) is the end result of the theological transformation that has taken place with and after the Second Vatican Council.
- The international journal *Concilium* dedicates the issue of 2016/5 only for Revision of Canon Law.

# 1. CCEO Title XII: Religious & Other Institutes of Consecrated Life

• In the years after the promulgation of CCEO the more serious defects of the legislation contained in the canons of its title XII came to be noticed in practice.

## 1. CCEO Title XII: Religious & Other Institutes of Consecrated Life

• In particular it has been found from experience that the norms of this Code regarding the formation and apostolate of religious who are not called to the monastic life ("ceteri religioisi") are very defective. These religious serve the Church dedicating themselves to various kinds of apostolate according to their constitution.

#### 1. CCEO Title XII:

#### **Religious & Other Institutes of Consecrated Life**

 They have reported meeting with two difficulties. First, too short a period has been allowed by CCEO for formation in specialized fields of modern apostolate, which is often the only forms of evangelization practicable today in several countries like India. However, whereas can. 657 § 2 of CIC allows a maximum period of nine years of formation between the first profession and the final profession, the corresponding can. 526 § 2 in CCEO limits this formation to a maximum of six years,

#### 1. CCEO Title XII:

#### **Religious & Other Institutes of Consecrated Life**

 the same as allowed for monks called principally to "divine praises" (laudes divinae, "choir"), not apostolate. The frequent and high number of requests for dispensation from the provision of this canon that have been submitted to the Congregation for the Oriental Churches is indirect proof that the law has been badly made and is in need of revision.

 A second serious defect of CCEO Title XII is the almost total lack of proper norms regarding the exercise of apostolate by these religious ("ceteri religioisi"). This defect has been brought to the attention of all, including the ecclesiastical hierarchy and the religious themselves, particularly through certain regrettable conflicts arising chiefly because of the lacunose legislation of the Eastern Code.

 While CIC has codified the conciliar and post-conciliar norms on apostolate of religious both Latin and Eastern, CCEO on the contrary has overlooked them with its focus on monastic life regarded effectively as the analogatum princeps of religious life.

• It is necessary that CCEO, too, contain adequate norms regarding both due submission of religious to the local hierarch on the one hand and the "justa autonomia" of religious institutes on the other. This can easily be done by including the relevant norms of CIC in CCEO, without disturbing the order and the number of the canons of CCEO.

### 1.1 What are the Weak Areas of Title XII which Need Correction?

(i) It is clear that the apostolate of religious is common to the Eastern Catholic Churches. As such, it should have been regulated in the common Code CCEO, according to the first draft of the ten guidelines for the revision of the Eastern code.

### 1.1 What are the Weak Areas of Title XII which Need Correction?

- (ii) CCEO has no parallel section as we found in CIC and says almost nothing about the apostolate of the monks and the other religious. This is not an oversight but a consequence of the idealization of monasticism as *fuga mundi*.
- Varghese Koluthara, "The Apostolate of Religious in CCEO: A critical appraisal," in G. Ruyssen & S. Kokkaravalayil (eds.), *Kanonika 25: Il CCEO-Strumento Per Il Futuro Delle Chiese Orientali Cattoliche*, Roma: PIO, 2017, 243-272.
- Nuntia 3 (1976) 3-18.
- Cf., G. Nedungatt, "The Eastern Code on the Apostolate of Religious needs Revision," *Ius Ecclesiae* XXIV/2 (2012) 389.

 (iii) The work of the codification of CCEO was completed by 1989, when communism fell in countries like Ukraine. Study group V drafted canons for the situation in which, as Minsci (chair person of study group V) wrote, "la vita monastica tradizionale è scomparsa nella maggior parte di quelle Chiese, avendo gli antichi istituti religiosi optato per un ordinamento ad instar degli Ordini latini."

• Cf., Nuntia 4 (1977) 4.

(iv) The radical call of the CCEO to revitalise the monastic life through the oriental religious institutes presents certain problems today. First of all, although the monastic life seems to be well-exposed in the CCEO as a major form, certainly like the origin as well as specimen of others, it seems that there are at present only a few monasteries, as they are exposed in the Code itself. Certainly, it is to be noted that the then prevailing monastic orders at the promulgation of the MP PAL in 1952, have nearly all been declared non-monastic in 1955.

 To the extent that, all of them changed their organization in accordance with one of the types of the religious institutes of the Latin Church, i.e., monastery, order, congregation, society without vows - whose apostolate they wished to emulate within their own Churches. They are dedicated, namely, to the works of apostolate. This is signified by the Holy See's declaration itself (Annuario Pontificio, (1991) pp. 1362; 1365-1368).

 Though the Orders and Congregations are of Latin origin, they are the treasures of the universal Church as monasteries of the Oriental Churches are part and parcel of the patrimony of the universal Church.

• Cf., Varghese Koluthara, Rightful Autonomy of Religious Institutes: A Comparative Study Based on the Code of Canons of the Oriental Churches and Code of Canon Law, Bangalore: Institute of Oriental Canon Law, 209-210.

- In the modern era, the apostolic religious institutes have served and have continued to serve the needs of the Oriental Churches in accomplishing their apostolates. In order to revitalize the monastic values of the Eastern religious life, it will be impossible to bring out radical changes in the legal structure of the religious institutes like orders and congregations prevailing today in the Oriental **Churches** without destroying their specific charism and the related apostolates.
- Cf., Varghese Koluthara, Rightful Autonomy of Religious Institutes: A Comparative Study Based on the Code of Canons of the Oriental Churches and Code of Canon Law, Bangalore: Institute of Oriental Canon Law, 209-210.

- (v) We do not find any specific norms in CCEO regarding the formation of the religious who are not monks. Some of these norms may be suitable for monks but not for other religious. Between the first profession (temporary) and the final (perpetual) profession in orders and congregations CCEO can. 526§2 allows a maximum time span of six years ("numquam ad tempus quod ... sexennio longius est"). This may not work out well with the religious institutes which are constitutionally oriented toward various kinds of apostolate.
- Cf., G. Nedungatt, "The Eastern Code on the Apostolate of Religious needs Revision," 390.

• (vi) To be in the frame work of "fuga mundi," cannot be a helpful norm for the manifold apostolate of other religious. Its basic error is to have made monasticism the "analogatum principes" of religious life. Religious institutes especially of women which undertake manifold woks of charity and social services today have to form their temporarily professed sisters in various apostolates.

 While the sisters often get their professional training in the same specialized institutions, the sisters of the Latin Church are allowed enough time for their formation by CIC can. 657§2 up to a maximum of nine years: "iuxta ius proprium, prorogari potest, ita tamen ut totum tempus, quo sodalis votis temporariis adstringitur, non superet novennium."

- Thus the Latin religious can attend to the professional training and religious training across nine years before their final (perpetual) profession. The Orientals, however, are constrained by a six year deadline set by CCEO can 526§2: "complexive numquam ad tempus, quod triennio brevius vel sexennio longius est, extendatur." This restriction appears strange especially since no restriction is set by CCEO on the time span before perpetual profession in monasteries.
- G. Nedungatt, "The Eastern Code on the Apostolate of Religious needs Revision," 390-91.

• (vii) Regrettably, CCEO does not allow Oriental religious dedicated to apostolate sufficient time to devote themselves to professional formation. The law forces them to interrupt their training or rush through in order to evade this sword of Damocles, the final profession is sometimes done in haste with little or no preparation during the vacation. Alternatively, recourse is made to the Congregation for the Oriental Churches for dispensation.

• The high number of these recourses is evidence that the law is badly made. Not seldom has this affected negatively the genuine religious formation of the candidates when the preparation for perpetual profession had to be rushed through.

 The Congregation for the Oriental Churches, too, seems to have got tired of handling these numerous recourses: in response to a recent recourse, the Congregation authorized a Superior General to grant herself the dispensation to the concerned religious. Surely, a law requiring frequent recourses or dispensations is a bad law and is in need of revision.

• (viii) Even for Secular Institutes, the rule of 6 years is prescribed for making final profession after the first vow or bond (CCEO c. 526, §2; cf., Caritas Secular Institute, Kottayam, Kerala, Constitutions n. 88, 39). This provision of CCEO is totally contradicting the spirit of the freedom that is allowed for the Secular Institutes in the Church. Secular Institutes is a typology of the consecrated life both in **CCEO** and **CIC**.

 CIC has given the provision for extending after first profession up to nine years, if it seems opportune, taking into account the time needed for formation and education that is to be followed up for taking up a specific ministry (CIC c. 656, §2).

 Whatever is prescribed in CIC for the religious institutes is also applicable for the Secular Institutes. But in CCEO what is prescribed for monks who do not have apostolate and who are leading a cloistered life, is six years, which is also made binding on the Secular Institutes.

 According to the teachings of the conciliar and post-conciliar teachings of Vatican II, the Secular Institutes are allowed to have the freedom to remain in the world and act as leaven in the world. Essential to the tradition of most secular institutes 'is the conviction that the members are to exercise the apostolates in many ways and carry out manifold ministerial tasks which are related to their own professional lives'.

 Therefore, they need more time for preparing themselves for taking up apostolates. They are also not having public vows. Their consecration is only private and it can be expressed in terms of bonds, oaths or promises, or semi public vows. It shows that they have the right in the Church to be more free and flexible.

- This flexibility and freedom is blocked by CCEO by asking them to make their final incorporation within six years after their initial act of incorporation in a Secular Institute. It shows the defect of CCEO, and needs correction.
- Soly Mathew, "Consecration and Secular Character of Secular Institutes in CCEO and CIC with special reference to the Constitutions of the Caritas Secular Institute" (Unpublished LOCL Thesis, Bangalore: Institute of Oriental Canon Law, Dharmaram Vidya Kshetram, 2017) 119.
- David f. O' Connor, Witness and Service, New York/ Mahwah, N J: Paulist Press, 1990, 21.

• (ix) At the final draft of the CCEO, the Societies of Apostolic Life was a missing typology in CCEO. Only at the last moment when the draft was submitted to Pope John Paul II a single canon (CCEO c. 572) was added to it and the rest of the legal provision which is due to this typology is left to the discretion of the law makers of particular law of each Church sui iuris.

 It also shows another weakness of CCEO and a lacuna of **CCEO** whereby typology of the Societies of Apostolic Life is lacking the common referral point in the common Code of **Eastern Churches.** 

• It shows that the *coetus* on Title XII of CCEO did not pay a comprehensive attention to the different typologies existing in the Oriental Catholic Churches. May be the preoccupation for bringing back the monastic trends of the Oriental Churches to its pristine glory, might have sidetracked the other typologies in the formulation of the CCEO. We do not get the substantial reports regarding the dynamism of coetus on Title XII of the PCCICOR in this regard.

- Missionaries of St. Thomas is a vibrant Society of Apostolic Life in the Syro-Malabar Church with the thrust of apostolic and missionary zeal, by which new evangelization is taking place in the context of India
- There was no corresponding canon in 1986 Draft of Codex Iuris Canonici Orientalis, Sebastian Vadakkel, now bishop of Ujjain, North India, noted in his doctoral dissertation on the statutes of the Missionary Society of St Thomas (MST), the practical difficulties that would be created for MST, destined to work mostly in areas under Latin Jurisdiction, if the Oriental Code contained no norm at all, which MST could invoke but had to rely simply on the particular law of Syro Malabar Church (SMC). Prof. G. Nedungatt SJ advised him to move his Superior General to have recourse to the Pope. The Pope ordered the insertion of a canon. As cited in Varghese Koluthara, "Code of Particular Laws of the Syro- Malabar Church," Kanon XXIII (2014) 120.
- Boby Kochuparambil, "Societies of Apostolic Life in CCEO and CIC and Societies of Common Life according to the Manner of Religious of CCEO," (Unpublished LOCL Thesis, Institute of Oriental Canon Law, Dharmaram Vidya Kshetram, Bangalore 2013) 35.

 In the same context, CIC provides a comprehensive picture regarding the typology of the Societies of Apostolic Life. It is true that Societies of Apostolic Life is not a form of consecrated life. It is only appropriating it. At the same time, in the context of apostolates they play a great role in a Church sui iuris. This typology should have given substantial importance especially when we envisage the missionary thrust of our remnant Oriental Catholic Churches.

 The best example is the Missionary Society of St. Thomas, a Society of Apostolic Life of the Syro-Malabar Church. It is a vibrant society doing exemplary evangelization apostolates in the mission areas of the Syro-Malabar Church. Often it is said that it is left to the discretion of the Particular Law of a Church sui iuris to enact further norms on it. It is not a correct methodology. It is because a typology with its full-fledged details can provide a model or a referral point in CCEO to make particular laws applicable to the *ethos* of each Oriental Catholic Churches. It is lacking in CCEO.

• Therefore, it is a lacuna. If this lacuna is not corrected in the common Code, each Churches sui iuris may lack the detailed reference point in CCEO to make the particular law on the Societies of Apostolic Life. For example, in the Particular Code of the Syro-Malabar Church, the particular statutes of the MST are being copied verbatim for the typology of the Societies of **Apostolic Life.** 

- . Then, if MST changes its statutes in their general synaxis, would this section on the Societies of Apostolic Life of the Particular Law of the Syro-Malabar Church will also change ipso iure? Then, it becomes a defect of the Particular Law. Therefore, it is another lacuna of Title XII of CCEO which calls for correction.
- Varghese Koluthara, "Code of Particular Law of the Syro-Malabar Church," 121

• (x) The title XII of CCEO is 'Monks and other Religious as well as Members of Other Institutes of Consecrated Life', and it is unwieldy and lengthy. There should have been a canon which should have worked out as a canon knitting together the different typologies envisaged in the title. Instead, it begins directly with the chapter one and it is titled 'Monks and other Religious as well as members of other institutes of consecrated life' and the addressing canon of Title XII of CCEO is 410.

• Logically, it should have been a canon linking all these typologies that are dealt under the long title and this canon could have connected harmoniously chapters one, two, three and four successively. It is lacking in CCEO and it meeds correction.

- 'Diocesan bishops': it is the bishop, who is the residential bishop of a diocese who has this competence. Hence, no Auxiliary or a Coadjutor bishop, nor an Administrator of a diocese can erect an Institute.
- 'Each in his territory': that means a diocesan bishop can erect a new Institute that is founded in his diocese or that has its principal house and principal activities in it.

No bishop can erect an Institute that is in fact out of his own diocesan territory, as the competence of each diocesan bishop is strictly territorial. Unfortunately, there are instances of a new group, founded in a particular place, goes in search of a "benevolent bishop," because his own bishop is not in favour of it. Sometimes it finds one in some other diocese, sometimes even in some other country. Such situations are canonically irregular and goes against the spirit of CIC c. 579.

- 'The erection is through a motivated decree of the bishop himself': by doing this, the bishop assumes all the canonical responsibilities towards the new group as prescribed in CIC.
- Provided that the Apostolic See has been consulted': this prior consultation of the Apostolic See before erecting a new Institute of consecrated life is an obligation. The Congregation for the Institutes of Consecrated Life and Societies of Apostolic Life has been interpreting it as necessary for the legitimacy of the erection, and not for its validity (This interpretation apparently goes against the prescriptions of CIC c. 127, §2, n. 2).

This was the traditional interpretation followed until recently. Accordingly, even if a bishop erected an Institute without consulting the Holy See, it was valid, though illicit and imprudent. The consultation gives the bishop the possibility to get a qualified opinion on the proposed action and it could help him to make a better discernment.

 There are cases in which bishops have not followed this canon and have erected Institutes which did not have even the minimum of canonical requirements. Due to this situation, on 11 May 2016, Pope Francis has given a Rescript, promulgated by the Secretary of State, according to which the consultation according to CIC c.579 is obligatory ad validitatem.

 Consequently, the non-observance of this canon will now invalidate the eventual erection of a new Institute of consecrated life. Here again, the opinion of the Apostolic See is not binding on the bishop. In other words, if the bishop erects a new Institute without the nihil obstat or a positive opinion of the Apostolic See, after the due consultation, the erection is valid.

 This situation needs to be modified, so that only groups with all the required canonical requirements receive formal approval. Unfortunately, there are bishops who go ahead in erecting new groups without a serious and objective discernment.

#### 2.1. Errection of Public Associations:

 The life of a new Institute or Society begins normally as Pious Union, which later obtains the approval (erection) of the diocesan bishop as a Public Association, with the intention to become an Institute or Society. Here, according to the present canonical norms, the diocesan bishop has complete freedom of action. One notices, however, that some Associations are founded and approved without a proper and authentic charism and discernment.

# 2.1. Erection of Public Associations:

 Naturally, many innocent young men or women may be attracted to these new groups. Often it also happens that candidates dismissed from other institutes or others who left them for serious reasons find acceptance in a new "Association."

- Later these candidates make the profession or, in the case of clerical Associations, some are ordained Deacons and Presbyters.
- There are already a number of such Associations that have very serious problems of discipline created by such members. The Higher ecclesiastical authorities get the information about these problems too late, then it will be very difficult and sometimes impossible to rectify the anomalies. Thus, there are cases in which the Apostolic See had to intervene suppressing an Association.

- According to CIC c. 312, §1, n. 3, the diocesan bishop, according to his discretion, can erect Associations, even those that intend to become an Institute of consecrated life or a Society of Apostolic Life. It would be recommendable that before erecting an Association that intends of becoming an Institute of Consecrated Life or a Society of Apostolic Life, the diocesan bishop consults the Apostolic See. Such a new provision will help to avoid the founding and approval of Associations that do not have the minimum canonical requirements.
- Jose Koonamparambil CMF, an official in the Congregation of the Propaganda Fide and at present a senior canonist and Head of the Juridical Commission of the Dicastery, communicated his observation on this canon to me through electronic media on 11 October 2019.

#### 3. Some New Changes to CIC and to CCEO

- Several canons of both the Codes have already been revised under Pope John Paul II, Pope Benedict XVI and Pope Francis.
- Recently, some changes were introduced in both the codes by the present pope. With apostolic letters given on 15 August 2015 in the form of motu proprios Mitis Iudex Dominus Iesus for the Latin Church and Mitis et misericors Jesus for the Oriental Churches Pope Francis reformed the canons pertaining to cases regarding the nullity of marriage.

### 3.1. De concordia inter Codices

 With a third apostolic letter issued on 31 May 2016 given in the form of motu proprio De concordia inter Codices, Pope Francis also modified some norms of CIC such as CIC c.111 (Ascription of children to a Church sui iuris: CCEO c. 29 §1), CIC c.112 (Formalities for transfer to another Church: CCEO c.36), CIC c.1109 (Faculties to bless marriages of subjects and non-subjects: CCEO c.829 §1),

## 3.1. De concordia inter Codices

• CIC c.1112 (Diocesan bishop can delegate lay people to attend marriages with the favorable vote of the Episcopal conference and with a license from the Holy See- no parallel canon in CCEO)without prejudice to the provision of CIC c. 1108 §3: only the priest attends validly the marriages between two Eastern parties, or between a Latin party and an Eastern party, whether Catholic or non-Catholic, CIC c.1127 (The form of mixed marriage with a non-Catholic part of Eastern rite the intervention of a priest is required for validity: CCEO c. 834) are fully replaced by new texts,

 Second paragraph of CIC c. 535 is fully replaced (Enrollments/transfers recorded in baptismal register: CCEO c.37), second number of the First paragraph of CIC c. 868 fully replaced and a new third paragraph is added to it (Baptizing Orthodox children: CCEO c. 681 §5), CIC c. 1108 will have a third paragraph (Only a priest validly assist at marriages of Easterners: CCEO c. 828), first paragraph of CIC c. 1111 is fully replaced by a new text (The local ordinary and the parish priest can delegate), CIC c. 1116 will have a third paragraph (Blessing marriages of Orthodox faithful: CCEO c. 833 §§1-2).

• Pope Francis, Apo. Letter, MP, De concordia inter Codices, 31 May 2016: AAS 108 (2016) 602-605; English text is taken from Justitia: Dharmaram Journal of Canon Law, vol. 8, no. 1 (June 2017) 41-48; Job Abbas, De Concordia inter Codices, Justitia: Dharmaram Journal of Canon Law, vol. 8, no. 1 (June 2017) 15-41; Pablo Gafaell, "Harmonizing Two Codes: Open Legal Issues," and "The Relationship between CIC 1983 and CCEO 1990 in the Light of the PCLT's Explanatory Note," in Harmonizing the Canons, Bengaluru: Institute of Oriental Canon Law, 2016, 03-35.

### 3.2. Common Canons in CIC and CCEO

• Several canons in both codes (CIC and CCEO) are the same. Some have to be inevitably so, since Eastern Catholic Churches together with the Latin Church or Western Catholic Church compose the same Roman Catholic Church. Thus, most of the canons on the sacraments, Supreme Church authority (ecumenical councils, pope) are the same. The general norms in both the codes are mostly the same but there are some striking differences too for example, CCEO c.1506 §1; CIC c. 23.

#### 3.2. Common Canons in CIC and CCEO

- The first change was the addition of a paragraph to canon 750 of CIC (CCEO c. 598) introducing a new category of ecclesiastical magisterium, namely definitive and non infallible teaching. This category was created by Pope John Paul II to cover his teaching that women could not be ordained to ministerial priesthood, a papal teaching that has not convinced all theologians.
- G. Nedungatt, "The Latin Code and Eastern Code: A Comparison," 226.

- But more changes will be needed in the canons in both the codes regarding the pope, who as was frankly admitted by Pope Paul VI, is the first hurdle in ecumenism. If an ecumenical breakthrough were to happen that readies the divided Churches to form and be united in one Church as "Una Sancta" several canons including those on Papal Primacy (CIC c.331); (CCEO c.43) and the infallible magisterium of the Pope (CIC c.749; CCEO c. 597) will need revision. Such a revision, if it is possible at all, will be the task of theologians in the first place, or rather a matter of interdisciplinary co-operation between theologians, historians, and canonists.
- G. Nedungatt, "The Latin Code and Eastern Code: A Comparison," 226.

# 4. Some CCEO Canons with no CIC (1983) Counterparts

 There are some canons in CCEO with no counterpart and CIC in vice versa. For example, there are canons on election of bishops in CCEO but not in CIC. The CIC canons on cardinals (cc. 349-359) and on papal delegates (cc. 362 - 367) have no counterparts in CCEO. The title "Vicarius Christi" was initially an Episcopal title common to all bishops. It was reserved to the pope by Pope Innocent III (1198-1216).

# 4. Some CCEO Canons with no CIC (1983) Counterparts

- The Second Vatican Council applied the title 'vicarii Christi' also to the bishops: "the bishops govern the Churches entrusted to them as vicars and legates of Christ" (LG 27). Following the council, the Eastern Code uses the title Vicarius Christi for the pope and bishops (CCEO cc. 43,178); but the CIC applies only to the pope (c.331), not to the bishops (c.375 §1) following the popular usage.
- Cf., Michele Maccarone, Vicarius Christi: Storia del titulo papale, (Romae: Fac. Theologica Pontificii Athenaei Lateranenis, 1952): "Vicarius," (vicar) is a title of Roman Law meaning vicem gerens, "a substitute, deputy, or proxy." The apostles are qualified as 'vicars' of Christ in Roman liturgy, Preface of the Apostles (as cited in, G. Nedungatt, "The Latin Code and Eastern Code: A Comparison," 226).

 There is no corresponding canon in CIC for CCEO c. 27 on sui iuris Churches, c. 28 on rites. Another canon is on theologians (CCEO c. 606) and also on publication of books (CCEO c.661 §1).

- Now, Let me present the following themes for better deliberations and for making the back drop for revision of the Codes of Canon Law.
- 5. Legislative Power, Judicial Power and Particular Law
- In the Eastern Patriarchal and Major Archiepiscopal Churches the synod of bishops has legislative power and can make laws that are not contrary to the common law (CCEO cc. 110; 150 §2).

 These synods also have judicial power, and they function as a tribunal, which is the ecclesiastical equivalent of a High Court in India. It consists of a body of five bishops elected by the synod of bishops of these Churches for a period of five years (CCEO c. 1062).

• This tribunal can judge contentious cases involving even bishops (CCEO c.1060 §2), whereas in the Latin Church cases involving bishops are reserved to the Roman See (CIC c. 1405). The Episcopal conferences (CIC cc.449-459) are the Latin counterparts of the synods of the Patriarchal and Major Archiepiscopal Churches. These synods enjoy legislative or judicial powers whereas the Episcopal conferences have no such powers.

 The decisions of the Episcopal conferences can have legal force only if approved by a two-third majority and confirmed by the Roman Apostolic See (CIC c. 455 §2).

- Thus, with the centralization of power in the Roman See, the autonomy of the local Churches is reduced to the minimum in the Latin Church. In short, while the CIC is the Code of a highly centralized Church and the CCEO is a Code that applies extensively the 'principle of subsidiarity,' thus, leaving ample areas of freedom in the Eastern Catholic Churches.
- G. Nedungatt, "The Latin Code and Eastern Code: A Comparison," 223.

### 6. Penalties

 Another important difference between the two Codes is regarding penalties. The CIC provides for automatic punishments ("poenae latae sententiae"), that is, one who has committed a delict is punished "ipso facto" (CIC c. 1336). There are no automatic punishments in CCEO, which preserves the common discipline of the Church of the first millennium. Punishments foreseen by CCEO are to be inflicted by a judge or tribunal after examining the delict. But according to CIC c.1364, an apostate or a heretic or a schismatic is punished with excommunication automatically.

 According to CCEO, which contains no provision for automatic punishments, "One who denies...a truth that is to be believed by divine and catholic faith... and does not retract after being legitimately warned is to be excommunicated" (CCEO c. 1436 §1). But if the person retracts after the warning, there is no excommunication.

- According to several experts, the penal legislation of CCEO (CCEO cc.1401-1467) is more humane and more satisfactory than that of CIC (CIC cc. 1311-1399). As regards the style of legislation, too, the former is simpler: it is divided into two chapters: chapter 1, Delicts and Penalties in general (CCEO cc. 1401-1435) and chapter 2, Penalties for Individual Delicts (CCEO cc.1436-1467). On the other hand, CIC Book VI "Penal Sanctions in the Church" is divided into parts, titles and chapters, which follow rather the style of a textbook.
- G. Nedungatt, "The Latin Code and Eastern Code: A Comparison," 224.

### 7. Canon Law on Ecumenism

 Only the Catholic Church, which is a communion of twenty three sui iuris Churches of the West and East, has the most elaborate canonical structure. The Code of Canon Law (1983) deals summarily on ecumenism in c. 755: 'It is primarily the Supreme Church authority to foster and direct the ecumenical movement among Catholics, whose scope is the restoration of unity among all Christians';

### 7. Canon Law on Ecumenism

- and 'It is for bishops and Episcopal conferences to promote it according to the norms of law.' According to George Nedungatt S.J, 'Placing ecumenism under the teaching function of the Church hierarchy, this canon evokes the position of the Roman Catholic Church as Mater et Magistra' of all Churches, which is not helpful position in ecumenical relations.
- (Cf., G. Nedungatt, "Ecumenism and the Reform of the Canon Law," in F. Wilfred, A.Queiruga and E. Galavotti (eds.) *Concilium:* Revision of Canon Law, 2016/5), 54.
- CIC cc. 256, 364, 383, 463, 825, 844; Cf., G. Nedungatt, "Ecumenism and the Reform of the Canon Law," 54.

• The Canon law of the Orthodox Churches consists chiefly of the canons made by the Ecumenical Councils of the first millennium. The Protestant and Reformed Churches have their own church order. The Pentecostals have no canonical structure or only the most loose structure with the Bible being held to furnish rules of order and discipline.

 The CCEO deals with ecumenism under title XVIII out of XXX titles with seven canons (cc. 902-908). It has an elaborate description and the practical guidance on ecumenism. All these canons are sited with the sources from LG, OE, UR and to the Directory of the Secretariat for Christian unity (14 May 1967). CCEO c. 904 §1 reads as follows: "Ecumenical initiatives are to be promoted in every Church sui iuris through special norms of particular law, while the Roman Apostolic See functions as the moderator of the movement for the entire Church."

- This expression 'Roman Apostolic See' differs from the common Catholic usage 'Apostolic See'. It implies the fact that besides Rome, the only Apostolic See in the West, there are other Apostolic Sees in the East like Jerusalem, Antioch and Ephesus were also founded by the Apostles. The use of the absolute expression 'Apostolic See' without qualification ignore these Apostolic Sees. It can be an ecumenical irritant. Following the conciliar decree on ecumenism (UR chapter 3), the Eastern Code uses Sedes Apostolica Romana just once.
- G. Nedungatt, "Ecumenism and the Reform of the Canon Law," 55.

## 8. Papal Primacy

 The development of Papal Primacy in theology we understand it as the end result of First Vatican Council and to strengthen it infallibility was also defined. The 1917 CIC is the capsuled version of the First Vatican Council's pyramidal concept of the ecclesiology and the hierarchical structure. CIC 1983 and CCEO 1990 and PB 1988 translate the communion model ecclesiology of the Second Vatican Council.

## 8. Papal Primacy

- According to the Codes of Canon Law the 'bishop of the Church of Rome...' is the head of the College of Bishops, the Vicar of Christ and the shepherd of the whole Church; by his office he enjoys supreme, full, immediate and universal power' (CIC c. 331; CCEO c.43), which is legislative, executive and judiciary. The Pope 'is not judged by anyone' (CICc.1404; CCEO c. 1058). Thus, pope 'Sovereign Pontiff', who is above the law and he cannot be impeached'.
- G. Nedungatt, "Ecumenism and the Reform of the Canon Law," 56.

• The pope is routinely called 'Supreme Pontiff' in Catholic usage. The first ecumenical councils called him simply 'Bishop of Rome'. The title 'Patriarch of the West' figured in the Annuario Pontificio the official Vatican directory, until 2006 when it was suppressed by Pope Benedict XVI. Does this deletion of the title 'The Patriarch of the West' from the different titles of the Bishop of Rome is a debated question today in the ecumenical circles.

## 8.1. Appointment of the Bishops

• In the Eastern Patriarchal or Major Archiepiscopal Churches according to CCEO the bishops are elected by their synods (CCEO cc.180-189). In the Latin Church, the pope 'freely appoints' bishops or confirms those legitimately elected (CIC c. 377). The confirmation mentioned here is actually confirmed by the customary law. But in today's changed circumstances, it gives the pope the pose of a super bishop and deprives the local Churches of their due autonomy.

## 8.1. Appointment of the Bishops

 On the model of the Synod of Bishops of the Eastern Churches (CCEO cc. 55-150), the Episcopal Conferences of the Latin Church could be upgraded as synods having legislative and judicial powers. This would bring about decentralization of Church government.

## 8.1. Appointment of the Bishops

- There are a few Latin dioceses in which the Cathedral chapter participates in the appointment or election of the bishops. There are 22 Latin dioceses in this situation: 18 in Germany, in accordance with concordats and conventions between 1929 and 1994 (Aachen, Köln, Paderborn, etc...), three in Switzerland, one in Austria.
- G. Nedungatt, "Ecumenism and the Reform of the Canon Law," 56.

#### 8. 2. Convocation of Ecumenical Councils

 Supreme Church authority is vested not only in the Ecumenical Councils but also on the pope according to Catholic doctrine and law. Ecumenical councils obviously cannot always be in session nor be convoked very frequently. It is reasonable that in the interim, supreme Church authority is exercised by pope as successor of the apostle Peter. According to canon law it is for the pope 'to convoke an ecumenical council, preside over it either personally or through a delegate, to transfer, suspend or dissolve it and approve its decisions' (CIC c. 338; CCEO c. 51).

#### 8. 2. Convocation of Ecumenical Councils

- The pope also sets his agenda; and his prior approval is needed to discuss the proposals of the bishops on the council floor. He can reject a motion proposed by the majority of the members of the council or even unanimously. This provision places the pope virtually above the councils. It is for canon law to find for the papacy its unique place between monarchy and democracy.
- G. Nedungatt, "Ecumenism and the Reform of the Canon Law," 56-57.

# 8. 3. Completion of seventy five years of age

- Catholic diocesan bishops have to submit their resignation from office on reaching seventy five years of age (CIC c. 401; CCEO c. 210). But there is no age limit regarding the pope. Oddly, bishops who have reached the age of seventy five submit their resignation to the Bishop of Rome who may be over eighty. The papal office becomes vacant by the pope's death or renunciation. It is also an area where canon law could think of providing an answer.
- G. Nedungatt, "Ecumenism and the Reform of the Canon Law," 57.

# 8. 4. Suggestions for Renewal of Papacy

 St Pope John Paul II invited constructive suggestion for the renewal of papacy. Renewal would involve certain changes in canon law regarding pope. According to Pope Francis, Catholics can learn from the Orthodox experience of synodality (Ap. Ex. Evengelii Gaudium, 26 Nov. 2013, n. 246).

# 8. 4. Suggestions for Renewal of Papacy

- In Orthodox Churches, but also in the Eastern Catholic Churches, legislative and judicial powers are vested in the synods while the Patriarchs exercise administrative executive power (CCEO c. 110). Such a division of powers in the government of the Catholic Church at the highest level is not incompatible with papal primacy.
- G. Nedungatt, "Ecumenism and the Reform of the Canon Law," 58-59.

## 9. Priestly Celibacy

 Clerical celibacy has been the practice in the Latin Church while celibacy is obligatory not only for bishops but also for priests in the Latin Church, it is an 'optional vocation' for priests and deacons in twenty of the twentytwo Eastern Catholic Churches. There are married priests and married deacons also in the Orthodox Church which follow the sacred canons. CCEO regards married clerical system in par with celibacy.

## 9. Priestly Celibacy

- It is explicit in CCEO c. 373. Thus, clerical celibacy is 'greatly esteemed' to the priesthood everywhere according to the tradition of the entire Church; likewise, the state of married clerics is 'to be held in honour'. Both these phrases have practically the same meaning.
- G. Nedungatt, "The Latin Code and Eastern Code: A Comparison," 226.

 The same Married clergy was normal in the primitive Church, starting with the first pope St. Peter. St. Gregory Naziansen (330-390), archbishop of Constantinople and after his resignation bishop of Nazianz was born as the son of Gregory, then bishop of Nazianzus. Another St. Gregory, bishop of Nyssa (335-399), was married man.

 The same Married clergy was normal in the primitive Church, starting with the first pope St. Peter. St. Gregory Naziansen (330-390), archbishop of Constantinople and after his resignation bishop of Nazianz was born as the son of Gregory, then bishop of Nazianzus. Another St. Gregory, bishop of Nyssa (335-399), was married man.

 CIC requires the priests and bishops to be celibate (CIC c. 1037). Priestly celibacy was made obligatory by Pope Siricius (384-399) by invoking the Old Testament law of ritual purity against the state of married clerics. The first written law obliging clerics to perpetual continence or celibacy in the West is found in canon 33 of the Council of Elvira, Spain, celebrated at the beginning of the fourth century.

- However, "the implementation of the strict prescriptions of celibacy spread in the Western Church only slowly." And in the East, Emperor Justinian I (527-565) restricted Episcopal ordination to celibates in order to prevent bishops, who were charged with the administration of Church property, from diverting it in favour of their family and children. But the custom of married men being ordained as priests continued in the East. Thus, the East and West diverged as regards clerical celibacy.
- W. M. Plöchl, Geschichte des Kirchenrechts, 5 vols., Vienna, 1953; see Band I, p.167 (as cited in G. Nedungatt (ed.), A Guide to the Eastern Code, Rome: PIO, 2002, 296).

 Priestly celibacy is often exalted as the glory of the Catholic Church. But from the ecumenical view point, the law of obligatory celibacy can be an obstacle to union with those Churches in which it is optional. Not to impose 'any obligation beyond what is necessary' is a golden rule of canon law of apostolic origin (Acts **15:28**).

• G. Nedungatt, "Ecumenism and the Reform of the Canon Law," 59.

#### 10. Women in the Catholic Church

- Pope John Paul II in his apostolic letter Ordinatio Sacerdotalis (May 22, 1994) affirmed the following: "We declare that the Church has no authority whatsoever to confer priestly ordination on women and that this judgment is to be definitively held by all the Church's faithful."
- <a href="https://www.ncronline.org/news/parish/editorial-ordination-women-would-correct-injustice">https://www.ncronline.org/news/parish/editorial-ordination-women-would-correct-injustice</a>, accessed on 9/10/2019.

 But the finding of the Pontifical Biblical Commission concluded unanimously in April 1976 the following: "It does not seem that the New Testament by itself alone will permit us to settle in a clear way and once and for all the problem of the possible accession of women to the presbyterate."

- In further deliberation, the commission voted 12-5 in favor of the view that Scripture alone does not exclude the ordination of women, and 12-5 in favor of the view that the church could ordain women to the priesthood without going against Christ's original intentions.
- https://www.ncronline.org/news/parish/editorialordination-women-would-correct-injustice, accessed on 9/10/2019.

 The canonical status of women in the Catholic Church today leaves much to be desired. Only men can legitimately receive sacred ordination (Cf., CIC c.10243; CCEO c. 754) and be clerics. In some other Churches sacred orders are conferred on women, not excluding the episcopate. Logically, this option raises the question of whether a woman can be ordained as an archbishop of Canterbury, or as the Patriarch of Constantinople, or as the Pope.

 Pope John Paul II rejected the ordination of women, arguing that Jesus chose only men as his apostles and excluded women, even his mother. **But several Churches admit women to** ordained ministry, seeing in Jesus' conduct not to sanction but the tolerance of the social mores of the time.

• G. Nedungatt, "Ecumenism and the Reform of the Canon Law," 59.

 The institution of deaconess was common to most Churches in the East and in the West in the first millennium. During the codification of CCEO it was proposed to restore this institution and two canons on the deaconess were drafted and approved unanimously by the study group on clerics and by the central study group.

- But finally when the draft was sent for clearance by higher Vatican authorities, a veto was imposed alleging that the deaconess had no theological support. And that was the death knell of deaconess in CCEO.
- G. Nedungatt, Renewal of life and Law: An Indian Contribution, Bangalore: Institute of Oriental Canon Law, 2015, 92-99.
- G. Nedungatt, "Ecumenism and the Reform of the Canon Law," 60.

#### **Conclusion**

- Catholic Church today which is a communion of twentythree Churches *sui iuris* is the body of Christ, a living organism guided by the Holy Spirit, and the "vitality of the whole Church should never appears to be aging."
- The Codes of Canon Law which are the guides for the people of God are also subject to change and need reform. Using our 'expertise in the sacred and related sciences' and 'availing ourselves the proper freedom' given to us let us 'pave the way for doctrinal progress' especially in the context of the lacunae and defects the Codes of Canon Law that we must have come through, our years of research and learning.
- John Paul II, Apo. Const. Sacri Canones, xxiii (Cf., CCEO republished from TPI, 2003).

• Therefore, it is a collective effort where all of us have a share that we are undertaking now and not a finished product but a work in progress.

• (Let us also update ourselves by checking every now and then the new developments in the legislative section citing to <a href="www.vatican.va">www.vatican.va</a> especially referring to the Pontifical Council for Interpretation of Legislative Texts)

# THANK YOU